	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/008,601	MABRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowable</u>		
(1) Robin A. Hylton.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffery B. Arnold</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 2 nd paragraph		
Claims discussed: 45 and 53		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a	• ,	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to add the language of the "whereby" clause of claim 53 into claim 45 since the language of the specification is not read into claims. Additionally, it was discussed to change "whereby" in claim 53 to -- wherein --. No agreement for this change was reached. Since the "whereby" phrase is given patentable weight and the function of the phrase is read into the claim as a limitation, the change has not been made.